

<p>ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Annick M. Persinger (SBN 272996) 1970 Broadway, Suite 1070 Oakland, CA 94612</p> <p>TELEPHONE NO.: (510) 254-6808 FAX NO. (Optional):</p> <p>E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):</p> <p>ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">FOR COURT USE ONLY</p>
<p>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO</p> <p>STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister St. MAILING ADDRESS: 400 McAllister St. CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco 94102-4515 BRANCH NAME: CIVIC CENTER COURTHOUSE</p>	
<p>PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: CARYN GORZO, et al. DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: RODAN & FIELDS, LLC</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;">NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER</p> <p>(Check one): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNLIMITED CASE (Amount demanded exceeded \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> LIMITED CASE (Amount demanded was \$25,000 or less)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CASE NUMBER: CJC-18-004981</p>	

TO ALL PARTIES :

1. A judgment, decree, or order was entered in this action on (date): September 28, 2022.
2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.

Date: September 28, 2022

Annick M. Persinger
 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)


 (SIGNATURE)



FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court

SEP 28 2022

CLERK OF THE COURT
BY: *Michelle Scherr*
Deputy Clerk

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
DEPARTMENT 304

Scherr v. Rodan & Fields, LLC; Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Case No. CIVDS 1723435

JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4981

Case No. CJC-18-004981

Gorzo, et al. v. Rodan & Fields, LLC; Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco, Case No. CGC-18-565628

JUDGMENT

Judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of this Court's Order Granting Final Approval. The Order Granting Final Approval is hereby incorporated by reference.

The Class is defined as all current and former consumers in the United States or its territories who purchased Lash Boost for personal, family, or household purposes between October 1, 2016 and March 11, 2022; excluding (a) any individuals who have pending litigation against Defendant; (b) any Settlement Class Members who file a timely request for exclusion; (c) any officers, directors, or employees, or immediate family members of the officers, directors, or employees, of Defendant or any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest; (d) any person who has acted as an Independent Consultant of Defendant; (e) any legal counsel or employee of legal counsel of Defendant; (f) any federal, state, or local government entities; (g) any person who has previously released the claims encompassed herein; (h) any person who returned the Product

1 and received a refund; and (i) any judicial officers presiding over the Actions and the members of
2 their immediate family and judicial staff (“Class,” “Class Members,” and “Class Period”).

3 One Class Member, Edward Orr, objected to the Settlement. Seventeen Class Members
4 requested exclusion from the Settlement.

5 The Cash Settlement Amount is \$30,000,000. The Credit Settlement Amount is
6 \$8,000,000. Through its Order Granting Final Approval, the court authorized the following
7 distributions from the Total Settlement Amount:

- 8 1. \$15,000 Service Awards to Plaintiffs Caryn Gorzo, Kasey Poe, Anna Dohnke, Lien Scherr,
9 Jolene Lewis Volpe (formerly Barbara Lewis), Bobbie Joe Huling, Cynthia Whetsell,
10 Martha Merle, Teresa Gattuso, Elissa Wagner, and Dixie Williams.
- 11 2. \$12,500,000 for attorneys’ fees.
- 12 3. \$723,075.48 for litigation costs.
- 13 4. \$367,922.32 for settlement administration costs.

14 Payments from the Total Settlement Amount shall be determined by the Settlement
15 Administrator in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

16 Participating Class Members must cash or deposit their Individual Settlement Share checks
17 within one hundred eighty (180) calendar days after the checks are mailed to them.

18 The Court retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the litigation for purposes of
19 supervising, implementing, interpreting, and enforcing the Settlement Agreement and Judgment
20 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 and California Rules of Court, rule 3.769(h).

21 This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of California Rules of Court, rule
22 3.769(h).

23
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25
26 DATED: September 28, 2022



ETHAN P. SCHULMAN
SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
(CCP 1010.6(6) & CRC 2.260(g))

I, Felicia Green, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On September 28, 2022, I electronically served JUDGMENT via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & ServeXpress website.

Dated: **SEP 28 2022**

Mark Culkins, Clerk

By: 
Felicia Green, Deputy Clerk